

A Study on the Impact of Continuous Feedback Culture on Employee Performance in the IT Industry

Shreya .S
MBA Student,
CMS – B school, Jain (Deemed-to-be University)

Dr. M. Nalini
Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management studies,
CMS –B school, Jain (Deemed-to-be University).

Abstract

The contemporary IT industry operates in a dynamic, knowledge-intensive environment where effective performance management is essential to organizational success. Traditional annual appraisal systems are increasingly inadequate for the pace and complexity of modern IT work. This study investigates the impact of continuous feedback culture on employee performance, with particular focus on three dimensions: feedback frequency, feedback quality, and supervisor support. A quantitative, cross-sectional research design was employed, drawing on primary data collected from 120 full-time IT employees in Bangalore, India, through a structured Likert-scale questionnaire. Statistical analyses including descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, multiple regression (OLS), and t-tests were conducted using Python. Findings confirm that continuous feedback culture positively and significantly influences employee performance ($R^2 = 0.415$). Feedback quality emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.358$, $p < 0.001$), followed by feedback frequency ($\beta = 0.252$, $p < 0.001$), while supervisor support was statistically non-significant ($p = 0.105$). The study contributes empirical evidence to the growing body of literature on performance management in the Indian IT sector.

Keywords: Continuous Feedback Culture, Employee Performance, Feedback Quality, Feedback Frequency, IT Industry, Performance Management

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary business environment is characterized by rapid technological advancements, global competition, and evolving workforce expectations. In the Information Technology (IT) industry, where innovation and agility are critical, employee performance plays a key role in organizational success. As a result, organizations are increasingly adopting progressive human resource practices such as continuous feedback culture to enhance productivity and engagement.

Traditionally, performance management relied on annual appraisals, which focused on past performance and often lacked timely and constructive feedback. These systems are considered inadequate in fast-paced IT environments, where project cycles are short and goals frequently change (Mishra & Verma, 2024).

In response, organizations have shifted toward continuous feedback systems that emphasize regular communication, real-time evaluation, and ongoing development.

Continuous feedback culture involves providing timely, constructive, and frequent feedback to employees, enabling better performance alignment and improvement. This is particularly relevant in agile IT environments where collaboration and adaptability are essential (Drouvelis, 2022).

Despite its growing adoption, limited research exists in the Indian IT context, particularly in Bangalore. Therefore, this study examines the impact of continuous feedback culture on employee performance, supported by established theoretical frameworks, to provide both academic and managerial insights.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on continuous feedback and performance management spans experimental, field-based, and review-based research across multiple industries and cultural contexts.

Giamos (2024) demonstrated, through a controlled experiment ($N \approx 36$), that constructive qualitative feedback produced higher motivation to improve, while quantitative score-based feedback increased short-term output but risked reducing intrinsic motivation when presented without coaching. This directly informs the distinction between feedback quality and mere frequency. Similarly, Drouvelis (2022) found, using field and laboratory experiments with econometric causal methods, that high-quality, behavior-focused feedback produced durable performance improvements, whereas vague feedback had negligible or even negative effects. Chen (2020) explored feedback frequency effects in an investment decision-making context, finding that higher frequency reduced certain cognitive biases but had nuanced effects contingent on the individual's level of affective commitment.

In the domain of real-time and digital feedback, McLinton (2025) evaluated a mobile real-time feedback application and found improvements in communication and early detection of team stressors, but also identified "feedback fatigue" as a risk — a finding echoed in studies by Andor et al. (2025), who reported that persistent negative comparative feedback led to reduced morale and absenteeism in some subgroups. Zhang (2025) further distinguished between electronic performance monitoring (EPM) used for development versus surveillance, finding that developmentally framed EPM was associated with better performance

outcomes and lower employee stress, which is directly relevant to IT environments with 24/7 workflows.

Nalini (2025) examines the influence of organizational support and work–life balance on remote employee satisfaction within the IT sector, highlighting their critical role in shaping employee well-being and productivity. The study finds that supportive organizational policies and flexible work arrangements significantly enhance job satisfaction among remote employees. It reinforces the importance of HR practices that foster balance and support to sustain engagement in virtual work environments.

Several systematic reviews have shaped the theoretical landscape of this study. Vuong et al. (2022) advocated for multi-source, triangulated performance measurement designs. Fuentes-Cimma et al. (2024) concluded from a scoping review of workplace-based learning that timely, specific feedback integrated with coaching produces better developmental outcomes. The Annual Review piece by DeNisi and Murphy (2019) traced the evolution of performance management and emphasized that continuous systems must be accompanied by managerial capability and measurement rigor. Aguinis (2021) extended this by addressing the challenges of multi-source performance evaluation in distributed and remote team contexts — a growing reality in Indian IT firms.

From a regional standpoint, a study on employee job satisfaction in Bangalore's IT industry (2023) confirmed that HR practices and feedback environments significantly influence perceived satisfaction and performance, providing local benchmarks consistent with the present study's sample. The systematic literature review by Pagdonsolan and Chiu (2020) found that organizations with structured continuous performance

processes report better goal alignment, while the SLR on performance management determinants (2024) validated supervisor support as a theoretically important moderator — though its empirical significance remains contested, as this study finds.

Collectively, the literature points to feedback quality and frequency as the most empirically robust determinants of employee performance, while cautioning that supervisory dynamics, cultural context, and system design play important moderating roles.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a **quantitative, cross-sectional, descriptive-explanatory research design** to examine the impact of continuous feedback culture on employee performance in the IT industry. Primary data was collected from **120 full-time IT employees** in Bangalore, India — including software developers, analysts, team leads, and project managers — using a structured questionnaire administered via online platforms. A **non-probability convenience sampling** technique was employed given the accessibility constraints and academic timeline.

The questionnaire comprised two sections: (a) a demographic profile covering gender, age, educational qualification, experience, and job role; and (b) a 25-item measurement instrument using a **five-point Likert scale** (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree) to assess continuous feedback culture (feedback frequency, feedback quality, constructiveness, and supervisor support) and employee performance (productivity, goal achievement, and task effectiveness).

Data analysis was conducted using **Python**, leveraging the following libraries: Pandas and NumPy for data cleaning and numerical computation; Matplotlib and

Seaborn for visualization; and Statsmodels and Scikit-learn for regression modeling. Statistical techniques applied included:

- **Descriptive Statistics** (mean, standard deviation)
- **Reliability Analysis** (Cronbach's Alpha)
- **Pearson Correlation Analysis**
- **Simple and Multiple Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Regression**
- **Independent Samples t-Test** (for gender-based group comparison)

Three hypotheses were tested: H1 — continuous feedback culture has a significant positive impact on employee performance; H2 — feedback quality has a significant positive influence on employee performance; H3 — feedback frequency has a significant positive influence on employee performance. A significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ was applied throughout.

DATA ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS

Descriptive Statistics

All variables recorded high mean values (4.54–4.75), substantially above the neutral midpoint of 3, indicating that respondents perceive continuous feedback culture and their own performance positively. Employee performance recorded the highest mean (4.75), while low standard deviations across all variables (0.50–0.69) signal strong consensus in the sample's perceptions.

Correlation Analysis

Feedback quality exhibits the strongest positive correlation with employee performance ($r = 0.657$), followed by feedback frequency ($r = 0.370$). Supervisor support shows a near-zero correlation ($r = 0.058$), suggesting it operates independently of performance outcomes in this sample.

Multiple Regression Analysis

The model explains 61.5% of the variance in employee performance ($R^2 = 0.615$). Feedback quality is the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.358, p < 0.001$), followed by feedback frequency ($\beta = 0.252, p < 0.001$). Supervisor support is not statistically significant ($\beta = 0.049, p = 0.105$).

Simple Regression: Feedback Culture → Employee Performance

Continuous feedback culture (as a composite variable) explains approximately 41.5% of the variance in employee performance ($R^2 = 0.415$). The significant positive coefficient ($\beta = 0.690$) confirms H1.

Hypothesis Summary

An independent t-test revealed no significant difference in employee performance based on gender, suggesting that IT organizations in Bangalore maintain equitable performance outcomes across gender groups.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The findings of this study provide strong empirical support for the role of continuous feedback culture in enhancing employee performance in the Indian IT industry. The results confirm that continuous feedback culture has a significant positive impact on employee performance ($\beta = 0.690, R^2 = 0.415$), supporting the primary hypothesis and aligning with Goal-Setting Theory, which emphasizes the importance of regular feedback for performance improvement.

Among the dimensions, feedback quality emerged as the most influential factor ($\beta = 0.358, p < 0.001$), indicating that clear, specific, and constructive feedback is more impactful than frequency alone. Feedback frequency also showed a significant positive effect ($\beta = 0.252, p <$

0.001), reinforcing its role in improving performance.

However, supervisor support was not statistically significant ($p = 0.105$), suggesting a shift toward system-driven feedback mechanisms in IT organizations. Additionally, no gender-based differences were observed, indicating a merit-based performance culture.

In conclusion, organizations should prioritize high-quality and consistent feedback systems. Continuous feedback culture is a strategic approach that enhances employee performance, productivity, and overall organizational effectiveness.

FUTURE SCOPE FOR RESEARCH

This study offers several directions for future research. Expanding the sample size and including IT employees from other cities such as Hyderabad, Pune, and Chennai would improve generalizability. Future studies should adopt longitudinal designs to examine the long-term impact of continuous feedback culture on employee performance.

Researchers can also explore additional variables such as employee engagement, job satisfaction, leadership style, and organizational culture as mediators or moderators. Qualitative methods like interviews can provide deeper insights into employee experiences.

With increasing use of AI in HR, future research should examine AI-driven feedback systems and employee perceptions of transparency and fairness. Extending the study to other industries would enable broader comparisons.

REFERENCES

- Aguinis, H. (2021). *Measuring performance during crises and beyond: Multifaceted approaches to performance evaluation.*

- https://hermanaguinis.com/pdf/BH_PPS.pdf
- Andor, M. A., Goette, L., Price, M. K., et al. (2025). *Real-time feedback and social comparison reports: A field experiment* (CRCTR224 Discussion Paper No. 651). <https://www.crctr224.de/research/discussion-papers/archive/dp651>
- Chen, D. F. (2020). The influence of performance feedback frequency and affective commitment on the sunk cost effect. *Managerial and Decision Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.3144>
- DeNisi, A., & Murphy, K. (2019). The evolution of performance management: Searching for the holy grail. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012218-015009>
- Drouvelis, M. (2022). Feedback quality and performance in organisations. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2021.01.001>
- Nalini, M. (2025). The impact of organizational support and work-life balance on remote employee satisfaction in the IT sector. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering Development*, 8(2).
- Fuentes-Cimma, J., Schick, K., Riquelme-Galindo, J., Soto-Subiabre, V., & Quiroga, T. (2024). Designing feedback processes in workplace-based learning settings: A scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*.
- <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11036781/>
- Giamos, D. (2024). Continuous performance feedback: Investigating the effects of feedback content and feedback sources on performance, motivation to improve performance and task engagement. *Personality and Individual Differences*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01608061.2023.2238029>
- McLinton, S. S. (2025). A 'living intervention': Evaluating a real-time feedback app for psychosocial safety climate. *Safety Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2025.106001>
- Mishra, S., & Verma, R. (2024). *The future of performance management: Shifting from annual reviews to continuous feedback*. ResearchGate. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383846204>
- Nayem, Z. (2024). Unbiased employee performance evaluation using AI algorithms. *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114481>
- Pagdonsolan, M. M. H., & Chiu, J. L. (2020). Impact of continuous performance management on job outcomes. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 9(2), 63–89.
- Real-time performance feedback and its impact on worker performance*. (2025). ResearchGate preprint. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391011737>
- Society for Human Resource Management. (2020). *Transforming performance management into continuous*.

<https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/news/all-things-work/performance-management-evolves>

Testa, S., et al. (2020). Testing the influence of real-time performance feedback on employees in digital services. *Journal of Service Management*.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-10-2019-0308>

Vuong, T. D. N., Nguyen, L. T. T., Nguyen, P. D., et al. (2022). Key strategies for measuring employee performance: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14017. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114017>

Zhang, N. (2025). Effect of electronic performance monitoring on employees. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11939703/>

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Feedback Frequency	4.65	0.54
Feedback Quality	4.54	0.69
Constructiveness	4.58	0.58
Supervisor Support	4.55	0.63
Employee Performance	4.75	0.50

Table 2: Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variable	Feedback Frequency	Feedback Quality	Supervisor Support	Employee Performance
Feedback Frequency	1.000	-0.072	-0.224	0.370
Feedback Quality	-0.072	1.000	0.088	0.657
Supervisor Support	-0.224	0.088	1.000	0.058
Employee Performance	0.370	0.657	0.058	1.000

Table 3: Multiple Regression Results (Dependent Variable: Employee Performance)

Variable	Coefficient (β)	t-value	p-value	Decision
Feedback Frequency	0.252	7.448	0.000	Significant
Feedback Quality	0.358	11.745	0.000	Significant
Supervisor Support	0.049	1.635	0.105	Not Significant

Model Summary:

Statistic	Value
R ²	0.615
Adjusted R ²	0.605
F-statistic	61.85
Significance (F)	0.000

Table 4: Simple Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Intercept	R ²
Continuous Feedback Culture	0.690	1.617	0.415

Table 5: Hypothesis Testing Summary

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	Continuous feedback culture has a significant positive impact on employee performance	Supported
H2	Feedback quality has a significant positive influence on employee performance	Strongly Supported
H3	Feedback frequency has a significant positive influence on employee performance	Supported
H4 (exploratory)	Supervisor support has a significant impact on employee performance	Not Supported