© International Academic Research Journal of Business and Management
Volume 14, Issue No:1, April 2026, Page no.359-373
ISSN:2227-1287
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19978519

Fintech Disruption and the Rise of Retail Mobile Trading in India: Market Dynamics, Investor Behaviour, and Brokerage Transformation

Simran Sharma
Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, India

Dr. Renuka S
Assistant Professor – Finance, CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, India

Abstract

The Indian capital markets have undergone a paradigm shift driven by the rapid proliferation of fintech-enabled mobile trading platforms. This study examines the transformative impact of mobile trading applications on retail investor participation, trading volumes, market share dynamics, and investor behaviour in India between 2018 and 2023. Leveraging secondary data from the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), the National Stock Exchange (NSE), the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), and leading brokerage firms, the research employs multiple quantitative analytical techniques—including regression analysis, chi-square testing, independent samples t-test, and one-way ANOVA—to assess the statistical relationships between mobile trading adoption and key market outcomes. Findings reveal that mobile trading platforms, including Zerodha, Groww, Upstox, and Angel One, have collectively disrupted traditional brokerage models and democratised stock market access across socioeconomic strata. The number of mobile trading platforms grew from 20 to 45 between 2018 and 2023 (CAGR: 14.47%), with fintech brokers capturing over 50% market share by 2023. The regression model ($R^2 = 0.9805$) establishes a statistically significant positive relationship between mobile user growth and market participation. While the chi-square test confirms a structural shift in brokerage dominance ($p = 0.0017$), the ANOVA results reveal significant cross-platform differences in user trading activity ($F = 45.51$, $p < 0.001$). Alongside inclusion benefits, the study identifies regulatory concerns around speculative behaviour, market volatility, and responsible platform design. Implications are discussed for policymakers, fintech firms, traditional brokers, and retail investors navigating India's evolving digital investment landscape.

Keywords: *mobile trading platforms; fintech disruption; retail investor participation; Indian capital markets; discount brokerage; behavioural finance*

INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

The Indian financial services sector has witnessed an unprecedented transformation driven by the convergence of digital technology and financial innovation. Historically, the Indian stock market was dominated by institutional investors and high-net-worth individuals, characterised by high brokerage fees, complex account-opening procedures, and limited geographical accessibility for the broader population. The structure of brokerage services privileged those with financial knowledge, physical proximity to broker offices, and sufficient disposable income to meet minimum investment thresholds, thereby excluding a large proportion of the working and middle-class population from meaningful capital market participation.

The emergence of fintech-enabled mobile trading platforms accelerating sharply after 2018 has fundamentally altered this landscape. Platforms such as Zerodha, Groww, Upstox, and Angel One began offering commission-free or flat-fee trading models alongside Aadhaar-enabled digital KYC, real-time market data, and AI-powered advisory tools, dramatically reducing the barriers to entry. Concurrently, macro-structural enablers including the Government of India's Digital India initiative, the Jan Dhan–Aadhaar–Mobile (JAM) trinity, increasing

smartphone penetration (from approximately 340 million users in 2018 to over 600 million by 2023), and affordable mobile data driven partly by Reliance Jio's entry created a fertile ecosystem for fintech adoption. As a direct consequence, active demat accounts in India expanded from 35.9 million in 2018 to 114 million by 2023 (SEBI, 2023), reflecting one of the most dramatic democratisation events in the history of Indian capital markets.

Problem Context

Despite the remarkable growth in retail trading, critical structural and behavioural concerns persist. While mobile trading has broadened market access, the quality of investor participation and the sustainability of long-term engagement remain in question. A significant proportion of new retail investors are young, first- time market participants with limited financial literacy who have entered the market during periods of extreme bullishness particularly the pandemic-era rally of 2020–2021 and may be ill-prepared for the volatility and risk inherent in capital markets.

The convenience of mobile trading has simultaneously facilitated speculative and impulsive trading behaviour. Gamification features embedded within trading applications including leaderboards, referral incentives, streak rewards, and real-time push notifications have been found to encourage excessive trading, frequently motivated by sentiment and social influence rather than fundamental analysis (Jain & Pathak, 2022). These behavioural tendencies raise systemic concerns about individual financial well-being and aggregate market stability.

From a structural perspective, the rapid ascendancy of discount fintech brokers has imposed existential competitive pressure on traditional full-service brokerage firms. Many legacy institutions have been slow to adapt their cost structures, technology infrastructure, and service delivery models, resulting in sustained market share erosion. Regulatory bodies, particularly SEBI, are simultaneously confronted with an evolving challenge: designing adaptive frameworks that protect retail investors from cybersecurity risks, algorithmic manipulation, data privacy breaches, and unethical platform design without stifling the innovation that has generated significant economic and social benefits.

Relevance and Significance of the Study

This study addresses a significant empirical gap in the academic literature on India's digital financial transformation. While qualitative assessments of fintech disruption abound, quantitative studies that simultaneously examine multiple dimensions of mobile trading impact market participation, brokerage competition, trading volumes, and behavioural outcomes using integrated statistical frameworks remain limited in the Indian context. By applying regression analysis, chi-square testing, t-tests, and ANOVA to secondary market data spanning 2018–2023, this research provides robust empirical evidence to inform practitioner strategy, regulatory design, and academic theory. The findings are directly relevant to fintech entrepreneurs, investment institutions, SEBI policymakers, and scholars studying digital financial transformation in emerging economies.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to empirically analyse the growth, impact, and implications of retail mobile trading platforms on India's stock market ecosystem between 2018 and 2023. The

following specific objectives guide the investigation:

1. To analyse the evolution and market penetration of mobile trading platforms in India from 2018 to 2023, including platform proliferation, user growth, and adoption rates.
2. To compare traditional full-service brokerage models with fintech-based discount trading platforms, evaluating changes in market share, fee structures, and investor preferences.
3. To assess the quantitative impact of mobile trading adoption on trading volumes on the National Stock Exchange (NSE) and Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE).
4. To explore the statistical relationship between mobile trading proliferation and stock market volatility, identifying whether increased retail accessibility has contributed to speculative trading behaviour.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model, originally formulated by Davis (1989) and subsequently extended by Venkatesh and Davis (2000), provides the foundational theoretical lens for examining mobile trading adoption. TAM posits that an individual's intention to adopt and use a technology system is primarily governed by two perceptual constructs: perceived usefulness (the degree to which a technology enhances performance outcomes) and perceived ease of use (the degree to which technology use is free from effort). In the mobile trading context, platforms that deliver seamless onboarding experiences, real-time portfolio tracking, and intuitive trade execution interfaces are perceived as both highly useful and easy to use thereby accelerating adoption across diverse demographic segments. The dramatic growth in Groww's active user base (reaching 70.92 million by 2023) empirically validates TAM's predictive utility in the Indian fintech context.

Behavioural Finance Theory

Behavioural finance theory, particularly Kahneman and Tversky's (1979) Prospect Theory, offers critical insights into the irrational and emotionally driven investment decisions that characterise retail mobile trading environments. Prospect Theory demonstrates that investors evaluate outcomes asymmetrically: losses are weighted approximately 2.5 times more heavily than equivalent gains, predisposing investors to loss aversion, risk-seeking behaviour in the domain of losses, and overconfident risk-taking in bullish market conditions. Mobile trading applications amplify these tendencies through design features including real-time profit/loss visualisations, one-click trade execution, push notifications about price movements, and social trading feeds that create emotionally arousing environments conducive to impulsive decision-making (Barber & Odean, 2008). The prevalence of overconfidence, herding, and FOMO-driven trading behaviour documented among Indian mobile traders (Bhattacharya & Kaur, 2021) is theoretically grounded in these behavioural finance mechanisms.

Financial Inclusion Theory

Financial Inclusion Theory examines the mechanisms through which marginalised and underserved populations access formal financial systems. The theory posits that inclusion is achieved when financial services are available, accessible, affordable, and appropriate for diverse user needs. Mobile trading platforms operationalise financial

inclusion by dismantling traditional structural barriers: eliminating high brokerage commissions (replacing them with flat-fee or zero-commission models), enabling digital account opening within minutes (using Aadhaar-based e-KYC), and providing market access to users in Tier II and Tier III cities who were previously excluded by geographical constraints. The expansion of demat accounts from 35.9 million to 114 million between 2018 and 2023 is the most tangible empirical manifestation of fintech-driven financial inclusion in India's capital markets. However, inclusion without commensurate financial literacy may expose new investors to disproportionate risks, emphasising that access is a necessary but insufficient condition for positive investor outcomes (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2024).

Diffusion of Innovation Theory

Rogers' (1962) Diffusion of Innovation Theory explains how new ideas and technologies spread through social systems over time, following a characteristic S-curve adoption pattern through innovator, early adopter, early majority, late majority, and laggard segments. Mobile trading platforms in India exhibit textbook diffusion dynamics: initial adoption was concentrated among urban, digitally literate early adopters (pre-2018), followed by rapid early majority adoption driven by the pandemic-induced digital surge (2020–2021), and approaching market saturation in urban segments by 2023. The deceleration in mobile internet growth rates (from 7.62% in 2019 to 2.92% in 2023) corroborates the flattening of the S-curve in urban markets, while persistent rural and semi-urban adoption potential represents the remaining diffusion frontier. The CAGR of 14.47% in platform numbers reflects the supply-side response to this diffusion trajectory.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The transformative impact of mobile trading platforms on retail investor behaviour and the brokerage industry has attracted growing academic and policy attention. SEBI (2023) reports that active demat accounts more than doubled between 2019 and 2023, a trend directly linked to the proliferation of mobile-first trading platforms. Agarwal and Chakrabarti (2022) argue that simplified digital onboarding and commission-free structures provided by platforms such as Zerodha have been instrumental in onboarding first-time investors who were previously deterred by legacy brokerage complexity and cost. KPMG (2023) and PwC India (2021) similarly document that fintech innovation has democratised investing across urban and semi-urban demographics by offering real-time alerts, intuitive interfaces, and embedded advisory tools.

The behavioural dimensions of mobile trading have attracted significant scholarly scrutiny. Bhattacharya and Kaur (2021) document the prevalence of cognitive biases particularly overconfidence, herding, and fear of missing out (FOMO) among mobile traders with limited financial literacy, which frequently manifest as impulsive and excessive trading activity. These findings are consistent with Barber and Odean's (2008) seminal work on attention-driven trading, which demonstrates that salient market information amplified in mobile environments through push notifications and algorithmic news feeds systematically distorts retail investor decision-making. Jain and Pathak (2022) extend this analysis by identifying gamification elements badges, leaderboards, referral rewards, and streak incentives as powerful behavioural stimuli that elevate app engagement at the direct

expense of rational, long-term investment behaviour.

The theoretical foundations of investor irrationality are well-established. Kahneman and Tversky's (1979) Prospect Theory provides the seminal framework for understanding how asymmetric loss aversion predisposes investors to suboptimal decisions in volatile, information-rich trading environments. Venkatesh and Davis (2000) demonstrate through TAM that perceived ease of use and usefulness are the dominant drivers of technology adoption intent, a finding directly applicable to the rapid uptake of user-friendly fintech platforms. Das (2020) specifically applies technology adoption models to the Indian fintech context, finding that ease of use is the most significant predictor of trading platform adoption among first-time retail investors in India.

Regulatory and structural dimensions of fintech trading have been examined by Singh and Mathur (2023), who observe that SEBI's cybersecurity and algorithmic transparency regulations, while progressively strengthened, remain inadequately responsive to the pace of platform evolution particularly regarding in-app leverage facilities, personalised investment nudges, and data monetisation practices. Ramaswamy and Akanfe (2021) critically observe that trading platforms systematically optimise for engagement frequency and session duration rather than investor safety or financial outcomes, materially increasing the probability of uninformed decision-making among retail participants. These structural tensions between platform commercial incentives and investor welfare represent a persistent regulatory challenge.

From a socioeconomic perspective, Gopalakrishnan et al. (2024) find that while access to fintech platforms in semi-urban areas has substantially improved, actual investor competence and financial outcomes remain highly unequal. Investors from smaller cities are systematically less likely to diversify portfolios, understand tax implications of trading, or recognise the risks of leveraged products, frequently resulting in suboptimal financial outcomes despite ostensibly greater market access. Vinoth et al. (2024) demonstrate through machine learning analysis that while algorithm-driven robo-advisors improve consistency in systematic investment plan (SIP) contributions, they are considerably less effective in promoting risk-adjusted portfolio construction for investors with heterogeneous financial profiles and objectives. A cross-country comparison by Menon et al. (2023) of Indian and Indonesian retail investors concludes that higher market participation does not translate into improved aggregate financial well-being in the absence of regulated digital advisory models, financial literacy infrastructure, and appropriate investor protection mechanisms.

Existing literature reveals a critical empirical gap: while considerable qualitative and descriptive attention has been directed toward platform growth and adoption trends, there is limited quantitative evidence systematically examining the statistical relationships between mobile trading adoption and structural market outcomes in India across multiple analytical frameworks simultaneously. This study directly addresses this gap.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design and Approach

This study employs a quantitative research design, integrating exploratory and descriptive methodological

approaches. The exploratory dimension identifies emerging trends and behavioural dynamics among retail investors through systematic secondary data review, while the descriptive component quantifies market trends, trading volume patterns, and competitive dynamics through statistical analysis. The study period spans 2018 to 2023, providing a longitudinal perspective that captures the trajectories of mobile trading adoption across both pre- and post-pandemic phases of India's fintech evolution. This temporal scope is analytically significant as it encompasses the foundational growth phase of mobile trading (2018–2019), the pandemic-era acceleration (2020), the post-peak adjustment (2021–2022), and the maturation phase (2023).

Data Sources

The study relies exclusively on secondary data to ensure objectivity, replicability, and broad coverage across market dimensions. Primary data sources include: (1) regulatory publications from SEBI and the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), including annual reports, investor surveys, and market bulletins; (2) exchange-level trading records from the NSE and BSE encompassing daily turnover, segment-wise volumes, and market capitalisation data; (3) industry research reports from NASSCOM, KPMG, EY, and PwC India; (4) annual reports and investor communications from Zerodha, Upstox, Groww, Angel One, ICICI Direct, HDFC Securities, Kotak Securities, and Sharekhan; (5) macroeconomic and demographic data from Statista, Bloomberg, and the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology; and (6) academic and grey literature from peer-reviewed journals and financial media including the Economic Times, Business Standard, and Moneycontrol. Multiple sources were triangulated to ensure data reliability and cross-validation of key metrics.

Variables of the Study

Independent Variables:

The study examines three primary independent variables:

(i) Mobile trading adoption rate, operationalised as the annual number of active mobile trading users and platform registrations;

(ii) Brokerage fee structures, capturing the differential between fintech flat-fee/zero-commission models and traditional percentage-based commissions; and (iii) Platform features, including AI-based advisory tools, robo- advisors, gamification elements, and real-time analytics capabilities.

Dependent Variables: Four dependent variables are examined: (i) Stock market participation, measured by annual demat account registrations and retail investor headcount on NSE and BSE; (ii) Trading volume fluctuations, measured by average daily traded value across equity and derivatives segments; (iii) Market share distribution, capturing the proportional shift between fintech discount brokers and traditional full- service firms; and (iv) User trading activity, measured by average transaction frequency and trading volume per platform.

Analytical Methods

Multiple statistical methods were applied to rigorously test the study's hypotheses. Regression analysis modelled the linear relationship between mobile trading user growth and retail market participation/trading volume, with the coefficient of determination (R^2) used to assess explanatory power. A chi-square test of independence assessed the statistical association between mobile trading platform proliferation and the

structural decline of traditional brokerage market share, with Zerodha's share growth as a proxy for fintech broker expansion. An independent two-sample t-test (assuming unequal variances) compared average trading volumes in the pre-mobile adoption period (2015–2017) versus the post-adoption period (2018–2023). One- way ANOVA compared user trading activity across three platform categories (Traditional Brokers, Upstox, Zerodha) to identify statistically significant between-group differences. Descriptive statistics, trend analysis, and CAGR calculations complemented these inferential methods.

Research Hypotheses

H_1: The growth of mobile trading platforms has significantly increased retail investor participation in Indian stock markets.

H_2: The adoption of mobile trading platforms has a significant positive correlation with trading volume growth on the NSE and BSE.

H_3: Mobile trading platforms have driven a statistically significant shift in market dominance from traditional full-service brokers to fintech-based discount brokers.

H_4: Increased mobile trading has contributed to statistically significant differences in trading activity patterns across platform types, consistent with heightened speculative behaviour.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Growth of Mobile Internet Users and Trading Platforms

Mobile internet users in India grew steadily from 390.9 million in 2018 to 500.9 million in 2023, an aggregate increase of 110 million users over five years. The year-on-year growth rate decelerated from 7.62% in 2019 to 2.92% in 2023, reflecting increasing urban market saturation. Despite this deceleration, the absolute user base expansion has been substantial, and rural and semi-urban markets continue to represent a significant untapped frontier for mobile financial services adoption. Concurrently, the number of active mobile trading platforms in India grew from 20 in 2018 to 45 in 2023, a CAGR of 14.47%, underscoring robust supply-side growth in the fintech brokerage sector. Demat account registrations expanded from 35.9 million in 2018 to 114 million by 2023 a 3.2-fold increase over five years directly reflecting the democratisation of capital market access facilitated by mobile platforms.

Market Share Shifts: Fintech vs. Traditional Brokers

The brokerage landscape underwent a pronounced structural transformation over the study period. Zerodha's market share grew consistently from 10% in 2018 to 15% in 2023, while traditional full-service brokers collectively witnessed a market share decline from 36% in 2018 to 21% in 2023 a reduction of 15 percentage points in five years. Fintech discount brokers collectively captured over 50% of the total active client market by 2023. Notably, by 2023, Groww surpassed Zerodha in total active users with 70.92 million users, while Zerodha retained a higher proportion of high-frequency traders. The "Others" category (smaller and niche platforms) grew from 54% to 64%, indicating increasing market fragmentation and the emergence of specialised platforms targeting social trading, regional languages, and AI-driven micro-investing.

Trading Volume Trends

Average daily trading volumes on NSE and BSE peaked in 2020 at ₹5,054.71 billion, driven by a confluence of factors including accelerated retail adoption during COVID-19 pandemic

lockdowns, bullish equity market conditions (Nifty 50 rising approximately 80% from March 2020 lows to December 2020), and elevated household savings rates during mobility restrictions. Subsequent years witnessed sustained volume corrections: an 18.68% decline in 2021, a further 44.99% decline in 2022, and a 4.32% decline in 2023. These post-peak corrections suggest that the 2020 surge was partially attributable to temporary behavioural and macroeconomic factors rather than representing a permanently elevated baseline. The data underscores the sensitivity of retail trading activity to macroeconomic cycles, regulatory interventions (including SEBI's 2022 derivatives trading reforms), and evolving investor sentiment, and raises important questions about the durability of pandemic-era retail participation gains.

Regression Analysis (Hypothesis 1)

Regression analysis was conducted to model the relationship between mobile trading user count (independent variable) and retail market participation/trading volume (dependent variable) across the study period. The model produced a Multiple R of 0.9902 and an R^2 of 0.9805, indicating that mobile user growth explains approximately 98.05% of the variance in the dependent variable a near-perfect linear fit. The ANOVA table for the regression model yielded an F-statistic of 201.15 with a Significance F of 0.00014, confirming that the model is statistically robust and not attributable to chance. The regression coefficient for mobile user count was 0.2231 ($p = 0.00014$), indicating that each additional million mobile trading users is associated with an estimated increase of 0.2231 units in the market participation index. These results provide strong empirical support for H1: mobile trading platform growth has significantly increased retail investor participation.

Chi-Square Analysis (Hypothesis 3)

A chi-square test of independence was applied to examine whether the rise of fintech discount brokers (proxied by Zerodha's market share increase from 10% to 15%) is statistically associated with the decline of traditional broker market share (from 36% to 21%) over 2018–2023. The observed frequency distribution deviated substantially from the expected distribution under the null hypothesis of independence. The test yielded a p-value of 0.0017, which is significantly below the conventional significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$. This result confirms that the structural displacement of traditional brokerage firms by mobile-first fintech competitors is statistically significant and not attributable to random market variation. H3 is therefore supported.

Independent Samples t-Test (Hypothesis 2)

An independent two-sample t-test was conducted to compare average daily trading volumes before mobile trading adoption (pre-2018: ₹2,845.02 billion mean) and after (post-2018: ₹3,626.35 billion mean). While the directional trend a ₹781.33 billion mean increase in post-adoption volumes is consistent with H2, the t-statistic of 0.7807 and two-tailed p-value of 0.4786 (compared to the critical value of 2.776) indicate that this difference does not reach statistical significance at $\alpha = 0.05$. Consequently, H2 cannot be confirmed with the available dataset. This outcome is likely attributable to the confounding influence of macroeconomic events particularly the COVID-19 pandemic which independently drove volume peaks in 2020, the limited pre-adoption sample size, and the

subsequent regulatory-induced volume correction. Additional investigation with a larger longitudinal dataset and macroeconomic control variables is warranted before this hypothesis can be conclusively evaluated.

One-Way ANOVA (Hypothesis 4)

One-way ANOVA was applied to test whether statistically significant differences in user trading activity exist across three platform categories: Traditional Brokers (mean = 28.5, variance = 31.5), Upstox (mean = 10.5, variance = 3.5), and Zerodha (mean = 12.5, variance = 3.5). The analysis produced an F-statistic of 45.51, which substantially exceeds the critical F-value of 3.68 at $\alpha = 0.05$. The associated p-value of 0.000000427 is orders of magnitude below the significance threshold, confirming that the between-group variance in trading activity significantly exceeds within-group variance. Notably, while traditional brokers exhibit higher mean trading activity, their markedly higher variance suggests heterogeneity in engagement likely reflecting a diverse, advisory-dependent client base. Fintech platforms, by contrast, show lower but highly consistent trading activity levels, indicative of a more homogenous, self-directed, mobile-first investor population. H4 is supported: mobile trading platforms are associated with distinct, statistically significant patterns of user engagement and trading behaviour compared to traditional brokers.

DISCUSSION

Mobile Trading and Market Democratisation

The near-perfect regression fit ($R^2 = 0.9805$) between mobile user growth and retail market participation represents the study's most compelling finding, providing strong quantitative confirmation that the proliferation of mobile trading applications has been the singular most important driver of India's retail investment democratisation over 2018–2023. This finding is theoretically grounded in TAM (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000): the perceived ease of use and usefulness offered by platforms like Groww and Zerodha simplified onboarding, commission-free trading, real-time data, and personalised recommendations have dramatically lowered perceived adoption costs, triggering a self-reinforcing adoption cycle. The three-fold growth in demat accounts between 2018 and 2023 represents a structural shift in India's investor demographics, bringing younger, geographically diverse, and economically varied individuals into formal capital markets for the first time.

Structural Disruption of the Brokerage Industry

The chi-square finding ($p = 0.0017$) statistically confirms that the structural erosion of traditional brokerage market share is systematically and non-randomly linked to the ascendancy of fintech discount brokers. This result aligns with Diffusion of Innovation Theory: as fintech platforms crossed the critical adoption tipping point facilitated by zero-commission models, digital KYC, and pandemic-era digital acceleration investor migration from legacy institutions accelerated in a self-reinforcing fashion. Traditional brokers' delay in adapting their technology infrastructure, fee structures, and client interfaces has compounded this erosion. Agarwal and Chakrabarti (2022) document how Zerodha's early investment in open-source trading technology (the Kite platform) and its Varsity financial education initiative created durable competitive moats that legacy firms have struggled to replicate.

The sustained dominance of the "Others" category (growing from 54% to 64%) reveals increasing market fragmentation, suggesting that the brokerage industry is evolving toward a multi-tier structure: mass- market fintech platforms at scale, specialised niche platforms targeting specific investor segments (algorithmic traders, ESG investors, regional language users), and traditional advisory firms repositioning toward high-net- worth and ultra-high-net-worth client segments where personalised advice commands a premium.

Trading Volume Dynamics and Temporal Sensitivity

The non-significant t-test result for trading volume ($p = 0.4786$) must be contextualised carefully. The directional increase in mean volumes (from ₹2,845.02 billion pre-adoption to ₹3,626.35 billion post-adoption) is empirically consistent with H2, but the failure to achieve statistical significance reflects the confounding influence of the COVID-19 pandemic, which drove an extraordinary and temporary volume surge in 2020. The subsequent volume correction amounting to a cumulative decline of approximately 55% from peak 2020 levels by 2022 underscores the vulnerability of retail trading activity to macroeconomic shocks and regulatory interventions, and cautions against attributing the volume cycle solely to mobile trading adoption. SEBI's 2022 derivatives trading reforms, which introduced higher margin requirements and position limits, likely contributed materially to the post-peak volume decline. Future research incorporating macroeconomic control variables and extended time series would permit more definitive testing of this hypothesis.

Behavioural Implications of Platform-Driven Trading

The ANOVA result ($F = 45.51$, $p < 0.001$) establishes that user trading activity differs significantly across platform types, with important behavioural implications. The higher mean activity among traditional broker clients reflects the historical dominance of active, high-frequency institutional and HNI traders who use full-service platforms for sophisticated portfolio management. The elevated variance within this group confirms client heterogeneity characteristic of advisory-driven relationships.

In contrast, the consistently lower but homogenous trading activity on fintech platforms suggests the emergence of a structurally distinct retail investor population: mobile-first, cost-sensitive, and largely self- directed. This population exhibits trading patterns that are more susceptible to gamification incentives, real- time market alerts, and social trading influences consistent with Jain and Pathak's (2022) documentation of gamification-driven trading behaviour and Bhattacharya and Kaur's (2021) findings on behavioural biases in mobile trading environments. Prospect Theory (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979) provides the theoretical explanation: the emotionally stimulating design of mobile trading applications amplifies loss aversion and overconfidence, predisposing users to impulsive, momentum-driven strategies inconsistent with long-term wealth creation. SEBI's growing focus on mandatory risk disclosures, leverage restrictions, and platform audit requirements reflects regulatory recognition of these behavioural risks.

Hypothesis Testing Summary

Three of the four research hypotheses (H1, H3, H4) are supported at

the α = 0.05 significance level. H1 is confirmed by the regression model (R^2 = 0.9805, F = 201.15, p < 0.001), establishing a statistically significant and practically strong relationship between mobile trading user growth and retail market participation. H3 is confirmed by the chi-square analysis (p = 0.0017), demonstrating that the structural displacement of traditional brokerage firms by fintech competitors is non-random and statistically significant. H4 is confirmed by the one- way ANOVA (F = 45.51, p < 0.001), establishing that platform type is a statistically significant predictor of user trading activity patterns, consistent with the hypothesis that mobile trading encourages distinct behavioural engagement relative to traditional brokerage models.

H2 is not supported at conventional significance levels (t = 0.7807, p = 0.4786). While the directional evidence is consistent with H2—post-adoption volumes are higher in absolute terms—the absence of statistical significance, likely attributable to COVID-19 confounding and small pre-adoption sample size, means this hypothesis must be treated as inconclusive pending investigation with richer longitudinal data. The findings collectively paint a nuanced picture: mobile trading has demonstrably expanded market participation and restructured the competitive landscape, but its impact on aggregate trading volumes is empirically entangled with macroeconomic and regulatory factors that cannot be disentangled with the current dataset.

CONCLUSION

Summary of Key Findings

This study provides robust empirical evidence that fintech-enabled mobile trading platforms have been transformative agents in reshaping India's capital markets between 2018 and 2023. The key findings are: (i) mobile trading platforms proliferated at a CAGR of 14.47%, with demat accounts expanding 3.2-fold to 114 million; (ii) mobile user growth explains 98.05% of the variance in retail market participation (R^2 = 0.9805), confirming the primacy of mobile trading in democratising market access; (iii) fintech discount brokers have structurally displaced traditional full-service brokers in a statistically significant manner (p = 0.0017), capturing over 50% of market share by 2023; (iv) trading volumes peaked in 2020 during the pandemic-era retail surge but subsequently corrected significantly, highlighting the temporal sensitivity of participation gains; and (v) platform type significantly predicts user trading activity (F = 45.51, p < 0.001), with fintech platforms exhibiting distinct, homogenous engagement patterns consistent with gamification-influenced behaviour. These findings collectively confirm that mobile trading has irreversibly altered the structure, participation, and competitive dynamics of India's capital markets, even as important behavioural and regulatory challenges persist.

Theoretical Implications

The findings collectively validate and extend four theoretical frameworks. TAM is confirmed as an explanatory model for mobile trading adoption, with ease of use and usefulness as demonstrated adoption drivers. Behavioural Finance Theory particularly Prospect Theory is substantiated by the ANOVA evidence of distinct, platform-driven trading patterns that align with gamification-induced impulsivity and herd behaviour. Financial Inclusion Theory is supported by the dramatic expansion of investor demographics, though the study contributes an important caveat: access without financial literacy constitutes

incomplete inclusion. Diffusion of Innovation Theory is validated by the S-curve trajectory of platform adoption, with urban saturation emerging by 2023 and rural markets as the next diffusion frontier. Collectively, the study extends prior literature by providing integrated multi-method quantitative evidence for these theoretical claims in the Indian context.

Managerial and Policy Implications

For fintech platform operators, the findings underscore the strategic imperative of transitioning from growth-oriented acquisition strategies toward responsible retention strategies that prioritise long-term investor outcomes. This entails embedding financial literacy modules, transparent risk disclosures, and ethical gamification design replacing behavioural nudges that encourage impulsive trading with features that reinforce disciplined, goal-oriented investing. AI and machine learning capabilities should be directed toward personalised, risk-adjusted portfolio guidance rather than engagement maximisation alone.

For traditional brokerage firms, the 15 percentage-point market share loss over five years constitutes an existential signal demanding urgent digital transformation. Hybrid advisory models combining mobile- accessible self-directed trading with on-demand human advisory support represent the most viable competitive positioning for legacy institutions, particularly for client segments that value personalised guidance and comprehensive wealth management services over cost alone.

For SEBI and regulatory bodies, the study highlights several priority areas: strengthening cybersecurity and data privacy standards for trading applications; requiring mandatory, standardised risk disclosure at the point of trade execution; developing competency frameworks for AI-driven robo-advisory services; and expanding investor education programs particularly for first-time retail investors entering via mobile platforms. International regulatory benchmarking with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) evolving approach to gamification in retail brokerage could inform India's regulatory evolution.

Limitations and Directions for Future Research

This study has several limitations that should inform future research design. First, reliance on secondary data constrains granularity in platform-level behavioural analysis, particularly given inconsistent disclosure practices among private fintech firms. Direct access to proprietary user transaction data would enable significantly richer analysis of individual investor outcomes. Second, the exclusion of macroeconomic control variables including interest rate cycles, inflation, currency movements, and global market sentiment limits the causal interpretation of trading volume trends and the t-test's non-significant result. Third, the study's geographic scope (India-wide) masks important sub-national heterogeneity in adoption patterns, financial literacy levels, and investor behaviour between Tier I, II, and III markets.

Future research should address these limitations by: (i) examining long-term financial outcomes for retail mobile traders using longitudinal primary datasets; (ii) conducting cross-country comparative analyses of mobile trading adoption across emerging economies including Indonesia, Brazil, and Nigeria; (iii) investigating the role of generative AI, DeFi platforms, and tokenised assets in the next generation of retail trading; (iv) applying behavioural economics experiments to directly measure the

causal impact of specific gamification features on trading decisions; and (v) evaluating the effectiveness of SEBI's investor protection interventions using quasi-experimental designs.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, S., & Chakrabarti, R. (2022). Retail investors and FinTech: The case of Zerodha in India. IIMB Management Review, 34(2), 142–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2022.01.005

Bagrecha, C. (2022). Cryptocurrency – A bubble or here to stay. British Journal of Management, 58(150).

Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2008). All that glitters: The effect of attention and news on buying behavior. Review of Financial Studies, 21(2), 785–818. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhm079

Bhattacharya, A., & Kaur, G. (2021). Behavioral biases among Indian retail investors. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 22(4), 378–392. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2021.1888765

Das, S. (2020). Technology adoption models in Indian fintech. Journal of Financial Innovation, 4(2), 114–130.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

EY India. (2022). FinTech in India: Powering a financial revolution. Ernst & Young. https://www.ey.com

Gopalakrishnan, C., Vinoth, S., Madbouly, A., Radhakrishnan, P., & Ali, N. H. (2024). Impact of FinTech on sustainable financial inclusion – The case of India. SSRN Working Paper. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5066763

Jain, A., & Pathak, R. (2022). Gamification in stock trading and investor behavior. International Journal of Financial Studies, 10(1), 25–42. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs10010025

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263–291. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185

KPMG. (2023). The pulse of fintech: India market insights. KPMG International.

Malhotra, S., & Bagrecha, C. (2024). Asymmetrical effects of global liquidity and global economic output on domestic economic growth: Evidence from India based on NARDL approach. The Indian Economic Journal. https://doi.org/10.1177/00194662241227587

OECD. (2020). Retail investor behavior in the COVID-19 era. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.https://www.oecd.org

PwC India. (2021). India's retail investing landscape: Unlocking digital investor growth. PricewaterhouseCoopers.https://www.pwc.in

Qamar, A., & Rasheed, N. (2023). Factors affecting financial behavior of millennial Gen Z: Mediating role of digital financial literacy integration. Journal of Literacy, 2(3), 12–18.

Ramaswamy, S., & Akanfe, F. (2021). Digital trading platforms and investor responsibility. Journal of Digital Finance, 3(2), 94–110.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s42521-021-00035-4

Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Financial stability report. RBI. https://www.rbi.org.in Rogers, E. M. (1962). Diffusion of innovations. Free Press.

Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2023). Annual report 2022–23. SEBI. https://www.sebi.gov.in

Singh, V., & Mathur, P. (2023). Regulation and innovation in Indian fintech. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 31(1), 56–70. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-05-2022-0058

Statista. (2023). Number of mobile internet users in India. https://www.statista.com

Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. Management Science, 46(2), 186–204. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.1192

Vinoth, S., Chinnasamy, G., & Dalwai, T. (2024). Performance of indebted companies using a machine learning approach. In N. Mansour & L. M. Bujosa Vadell (Eds.), Sustainability and financial services in the digital age. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-67511-9_18

Vinoth, S., & Chinnasamy, G. (2024). Integrative analysis of investor biases through natural groupings, decision prediction, and co-occurrence patterns using a machine learning approach. Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCNT61001.2024.10725172

Table 1: Growth of Mobile Internet Users, Trading Platforms, and Demat Accounts (2018–2023)

Year	Mobile Internet Users (mn)	YoY Growth (%)	No. of Trading Platforms	Demat Accounts (mn)	Platform CAGR (%)
2018	390.9	—	20	35.9	—
2019	420.7	7.62	26	40.9	14.47 (cum.)
2020	448.0	6.49	32	55.1	14.47 (cum.)
2021	467.0	4.24	36	77.0	14.47 (cum.)
2022	485.0	3.85	41	100.4	14.47 (cum.)
2023	500.9	2.92	45	114.0	14.47 (cum.)

Source: SEBI Annual Reports (2018–2023); Statista; Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India.

Table 2: Market Share Dynamics – Fintech vs. Traditional Brokers (2018–2023)

Year	Zerodha Share (%)	Traditional Brokers Share (%)	Others (%)	Net Shift (Trad.)
2018	10	36	54	—
2019	11	33	56	−3 pp
2020	12	29	59	−4 pp
2021	13	26	61	−3 pp
2022	14	23	63	−3 pp
2023	15	21	64	−2 pp

Source: SEBI, Moneycontrol, Brokerage Annual Reports. pp = percentage points.

Table 3: Summary of Hypothesis Testing Results

H#	Hypothesis	Test Applied	Test Statistic	p-value	Decision
H1	Mobile trading significantly increased retail participation	Regression	R^2=0.9805; F=201.15	0.00014	Supported
H2	Mobile trading positively correlated with trading volume growth	t-Test	t=0.7807	0.4786	Not Supported
H3	Mobile trading shifted dominance from traditional to fintech brokers	Chi-Square	χ^2 ($p<0.05$)	0.0017	Supported
H4	Mobile trading associated with distinct speculative trading patterns	One-way ANOVA	F=45.51	<0.001	Supported

Note: H_0 = null hypothesis; significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ for all tests.